

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,611	DAWSON, GUY SIMON	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vinh T. Luong	3682	

All Participants:

(1) Vinh T. Luong.

(2) Steven Laut.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 January 2007

Time: about 4:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

29-33

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Vinh T. Luong
Primary Examiner



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to the Examiner's proposed amendment as seen in the attached sheets in order to overcome a potential rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, based on double inclusion because the term "a biasing mechanism" in claim 29 was recited in claim 29 and the term "a spring" in claim 30 referred to the leaf spring in claim 19 . See MPEP 2173.05(o)..